

The Romanian vocative: Innovations, Redundances, Restrictions

Throughout the last century, much attention has been drawn on the heavily debated origins of the Romanian vocative, but rather little on its recent development.

The Romanian vocative presently boasts an abundance of phonological, morphological and syntactic features distinguished from and interfering with other categories (such as syntactic case and derivation); these features are applicable to most nouns and adjectives in singular.

Regard, for instance, the paradigm of generic stem *vecin-* ‘neighbour’ (without genitive case):

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Form</u>	<u>Grammatical categories</u>	<u>Pragmatic use</u>	<u>Remarks on address use</u>
masc.:	<i>vecin-Ø</i>	nom. / indet.	reference	
	<i>vecin-ul</i>	nom. / det.	reference	
	<i>vecin-u’</i>	nom. / det.	reference / address	marked (colloquial)
	<i>vecin-e</i>	voc.	address	non-marked
	<i>vecin-ul-e</i>	voc.	address	marked (rare)
fem.:	<i>vecin-ă</i>	nom. / indet.	reference / address	non-marked
	<i>vecin-a</i>	nom. / indet.	reference / address	marked (distanced)
	<i>vecin-o</i>	voc.	address	marked (emphatic, familiar)

However, there are also several morphonological restrictions inherent in the Romanian language system which yield syncretisms of nominative and vocative:

1) No vocative suffixes are applied to nouns ending on *-e* (appellatives, mostly), *-i* or *-o* (some proper names, colloquial diminutives); therefore, nominative is the only means of address available: *frate (m)* ‘brother’ – *frate!* *moarte (f)* ‘death’ – *moarte!* *Gigi (PN.m)* – *Gigi!* *Scolo (PN.m)* – *Scolo!*

Furthermore, there are certain morphosyntactical restrictions within the noun phrase:

2.a) Vocative (like genitive, but unlike nominative) morphology applies to one constituent only:

iubit_e vecin_! ‘beloved neighbour’, *vecin-u-le drag_!* ‘dear neighbour’

2.b) In plural, the Romanian language displays no unique vocative forms; however, all suffixed plural forms are used as address under certain conditions:

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Form</u>	<u>Grammatical categories</u>	<u>Pragmatic use</u>	<u>Remarks on address use</u>
masc.:	<i>vecin-i</i>	nom. / indet.	reference / address	non-marked
	<i>vecin-i-i</i>	nom. / det.	reference / address	marked (distanced)
	<i>vecin-i-lor</i>	gen. / det.	reference / address	marked (emphatic)
fem.:	<i>vecin-e</i>	nom. / indet.	reference / address	non-marked
	<i>vecin-e-le</i>	nom. / det.	reference / address	marked (distanced)
	<i>vecin-e-lor</i>	gen. / det.	reference / address	marked (emphatic)

2.c) If possessive determiners are applied, only def. nom. is used: *vecin-ul_ meu!* *vecin-e-le mele!*

Thus, one might say, vocative morphology is blocked (or substituted) by possessive determiners.

Other phenomena are attested for address as well, especially in derogatory context: particles (e.g. *curvă* ‘whore’ – *fă curvă!*), name shortenings (*Alexandra* – *Ale!*), prepositional phrase (*săracul de tine!* ‘poor you’), relative clause (e.g. *curva ce ești!*, lit. ‘the whore which (you) are’).

My aim is to give an entire overview of all strategies of address available within the Romanian language system, and to specify these strategies upon their application in pragmatics.

Selected References

- CARAGEANI Gheorghe 1992: *Intorno al vocativo romeno.* // Birken-Silvermann u. Rössler (Hg.): Beiträge zur kulturellen, sprachlichen und literarischen Vielfalt in den Philologien. Festschrift für Rupprecht Rohr zum 70. Geburtstag: 63-81.
- DAN Ilie 1983: *Un aspect particular în morfologia românească: vocativul.* // Ders., *Contribuții la istoria limbii române.* Jassy.
- DIACONESCU Paula 1970: *Structură și evoluție în morfologia substantivului românesc.* // Bukarest.
- FLORICIC Franck 2009: *Le vocatif et la périphérie du système des cas: entre archaïsmes et innovations.* // PDF-manuscript, publication expected.
- GOGA Mircea 1998: *Limba română. Morfologie – Sintaxă – ghid de analiză morfosintactică.* // 2., verb. u. erw. Auflage, Klausenburg.
- GUȚU ROMALO Valeria 1987: *Mica enciclopedie a limbii române.* // *Limba și Literatura*: 333-42.
- ICHIM-TOMESCU Domnița: *Observații asupra cazului vocativ la numele proprii în limba română* // *Limba română* 41/1-2: 41-46.
- NICULESCU Alexandru 1983: *Le Vocatif roumain* // Roegiest u. Tasmowski (Hg.): *Verbe et phrase dans les langues romanes. Mélanges offerts à Louis Mourin*: 255-60.
- NICULESCU Alexandru 1984: *Le Vocatif roumain* // *Cahiers d'Études Roumaines* 3: 35-41.
- PĂTRUȚ Ion: *Vocativul românesc în -o.* // Ders.: *Studii de limba română și slavistică.* Klausenburg.
- PROCOPOVICI Alexe 1941: *Articol și vocativ.* // *Dacoromania* 10/1: 8-25.
- SVENNUNG Josef 1958: *Anredeformen. Vergleichende Forschungen zur indirekten Anrede in der dritten Person und zum Nominativ für den Vokativ.* // Uppsala.
- TOHĂNEANU G. I. 1985: *Statutul prozodic al formelor vocative.* // *Studii și Cercetări Lingvistice* 36(4): 290-98.
- VASILIU L. 1985: *Din nou despre vocativul feminin.* // *Studii și Cercetări Lingvistice* 36(3): 270-72.